

No. ID/RTK/89-83/42124.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Paras Singh and the management of M/s Jai Dinesh Steel Industries Pvt. Ltd, M.I. Estate Bahadurgarh (Rohtak) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Paras Singh was justified and in order If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/93-83/42193.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Kishan and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma & Brothers Brick Killn Owner Allahpur Tehsil Palwal District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing :

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Kishan was justified and in order ? If not to what relief is he entitled ?

The 24th August, 1983

No. ID/FD/102-83/43261.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shrimati Prem wati and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Allah Pur (Palwal) district Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section 10 of the Industrial disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act the matter specified below being matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shrimati Prem wati was justified and in order? If not to what relief is she entitled

No. ID/FD/102-83/43268.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shrimati Saiwo and the management of M/s Muni Lal Sharma and Brothers Allah Pur (Palwal) district Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act the matter specified below being matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shrimati Saiwo was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?